

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,663	06/20/2001	Ralph W. Heim	033449-500-CI	4897
27805	7590 02/18/2003			
THOMPSON HINE L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
10 WEST SE	COND STREET		GORDON, STEPHEN T ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, O	H 45402			
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\triangleleft
	Application	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/885,663	He met	
	Examiner	Art Unit	Confirmation No.
	Gordon	361	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet ben	eath the corresponden	ce address -
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY COMMUNICATION.	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM THE MAILING	G DATE OF THIS
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shares a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	30) days, a reply within the statutory minimun all, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from will, by statute, cause the application to beco	n of thirty (30) days will be consi the mailing date of this commun ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.)	dered timely. cation. 3 133)
Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	12-16-02		
This action is FINAL.			•
Since this application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under Ex parte	vance except for the formal matter	s, prosecution as to the	e merits is closed
Disposition of Claims	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 2	(13.	
Claim(s) 1-25+32-54		iolose mandina in this	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are pending in this application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)			consideration.
1-19,49-52 - 54		_ is/are allowed. _ is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)		_ is/are rejected. _ is/are objected to.	
Claim(s)			on an almatica
Application Papers		are subject to restriction requirement.	or or election
The proposed drawing correction, filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required	is approved or	disapproved by the Ex	aminer.
The drawing(s) filed on is/arc Applicant may not request that any objection	• •	by the Examiner	
		ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
The specification is objected to by the Exami			
The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) or (f).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	r dogumente bassa bassa sa sa sa sa sa sa		
Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been received. y documents have been received in	n Application No.	
Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have be	en received	= ·
Certified copies not received:	ion from the International Bureau (, ,,	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).
The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	ye provisional application has bee estic priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 12	n received. 20 and/or 121	
Arrachment(s)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-14 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	Notice	ew Summary, PTO-413 of Informal Patent Applic	cation, PTO-152
J S Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (07/01)			Part of Paper No

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 20-25, 32-48, and 53 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper Nos. 5 and 7.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: label 104' in figures 8 and 9 (3 places total). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "74" on page 9 line 17 should be --76--. Additionally, the status of the parent application should be updated at the beginning of page 1 to reflect the issued patent.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claims 1-19, 49-52, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, line 5 is somewhat confusing, and "a trailer chassis" could be replaced with -- said trailer chassis-- to correct the claim in this regard as best understood.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/885,663

Art Unit: 3612

Reclaim 12, lines 1-2 are awkward/confusing, and the last "said" in line 1 should apparently be deleted to correct the claim.

Leclaim 18, "said one of said openings" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Reclaim 52, the recited step of driving at least one vehicle in line 3 apparently constitutes a double inclusion of the vehicle driving step of the base claim.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 8; 10, 11, and 49-51, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paulyson.

Paulyson teaches a method of transporting vehicles including providing a module/container 14 and locating the module (i.e. the detachable ISO container) on a tractor and trailer assembly wherein the trailer/module assembly is located adjacent an external surface 70 so that transported vehicles may be driven from the surface into the module.

Re claim 2, the module is deemed sized as broadly claimed.

Re claim 3, the module is stackable.

Re claims 4 and 49, the trailer is configured as claimed.

Re claim 5, the reference teaches driving to a desired location.

Art Unit: 3612

Re claims 8 and 51, the reference teaches driving the vehicles out of the module at the destination location.

Re claim 10, ramps 71 and 80 are utilized for loading.

Re claim 11, the ramps 71 and 80 are uncoupled when loading is complete and transported with the trailer.

Re claim 50, the module is detachable coupled to the trailer.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6 and 7, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulyson in view of Gearin et al '067.

Paulyson teaches all of the claimed features as discussed regarding claim 5 above but fails to specifically teach uncoupling of the module and placement on a carrier for further transport and stacking of the modules.

Gearin et al teaches uncoupling an ISO container/module from a transport and stacking modules on a carrier for further transport - see figure 4 etc.

In order to utilize the Paulyson system for more efficient multiple container transport, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to uncouple the module from the

Art Unit: 3612

transport and stack the module with other modules on a further carrier in view of the teachings of Gearin et al.

9. Claims 12-16 and 52, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulyson in view of Rumell.

Paulyson teaches all of the claimed features as discussed regarding claim 1 above but fails to specifically locating the pivoting/locating the module via pivoting structure as recited.

Rumell teaches use of a hydraulic fifth wheel assembly and a forwardly movable wheel assembly to facilitate loading/unloading of a cargo load to a tractor trailer - see figures 1 and 2 etc.

In order to allow more system flexibility and facilitate loading from lower level external surfaces, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fabricate the tractor trailer of Paulyson to include a hydraulic fifth wheel assembly and movable wheelset to facilitate loading/unloading in view of the teachings of Rumell.

Re claim 52, the Paulyson system as modified by Rumell would be pivoted in first and second directions as recited during use.

10. Claims 9, 17-19, and 54 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note at least Smidler and Lohr teaches lowering a tractor trailer toward the ground to facilitate loading of vehicles.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556.

stg

February 7, 2003

1) Lordo 1/1/07

STEPHENT GONDON